

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,293	03/02/2004	Andreas Loew	PD030028	3162
7	7590 12/20/2005 EXAMINER		INER	
JOSEPH S. T		LEE, PATRICK J		
THOMSON LICENSING INC. 2 INDEPENDENCE WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 531	-	2878		
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/792,293	LOEW ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Patrick J. Lee	2878			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 D</u>	<u>ecember 2005</u> .				
•	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2004</u> is/are:					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Gee the attached detailed office detailed of the detailed depter was a					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [_] Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	,, , ,	I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-8 & 14 in the reply filed on 12/2/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is not found persuasive because applicant did not provide any reasoning for which the restriction should be lifted.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,254,331 to Dorman et al.

With respect to claim 1, Dorman et al disclose a device comprising: light source (10); light sensor (28) as a first light sensitive sensor detecting intensity of light emitted from light source (10) at a first location along the light path in a spatially resolved manner; light sensor (20) as a second light sensitive sensor detecting the intensity of light at a second location in a spatially resolved manner; and combination of switch (24), lamp control (30), and lamp regulator (13) as an evaluation circuit that receives both output signals and corrects the output of light source (10).

Application/Control Number: 10/792,293 Page 3

Art Unit: 2878

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 2-8 & 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,254,331 to Dorman et al.

Dorman et al disclose the device as described in the discussion of claim 1.

With respect to claim 2, Dorman et al does not explicitly disclose a linear array sensor, but such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because such would allow the device to obtain a better distribution of the fluctuations in the intensity of the light emitted.

With respect to claim 3, the modified Dorman et al does not explicitly disclose a lower spatial resolution, but such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because such would give the device increased detection capabilities.

With respect to claim 4, the modified Dorman et al disclose the sensors (20, 28) as being spaced apart.

With respect to claims 5-6, the modified Dorman et al does not explicitly disclose the detection of a spectral distribution, but such would allow the device to account for additional fluctuations in the device.

With respect to claim 7, the modified Dorman et al does not explicitly disclose the use of an integration cylinder, but such would have been obvious to one as intended use in order to obtain an accurate assessment of the light.

With respect to claim 8, the modified Dorman et al disclose optical fibers (14, 19) with coupler (16) as an optical coupling element.

With respect to claim 14, the use in a film scanner would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as intended use because the modified Dorman et al would provide the necessary knowledge to address the problems of inconsistent lighting in the scanning devices.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

With respect to claim 1, US 5,546,041 to Szajda discloses a feedback sensor circuit comprising: two sensors (4) to receive a signal from excitation source (2), with

Application/Control Number: 10/792,293

Art Unit: 2878

control circuit (6) to receive the signals from sensors (4) and accordingly apply a

Page 5

corrective signal (8) to source (2).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8.

examiner should be directed to Patrick J. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to

5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick J. Lee Examiner

Art Unit 2878

PJL

December 14, 2005